



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/815,480	03/31/2004	Karen K.Y. Young	022101-000230US	8589
41504	7590 02/07/2006	EXAMINER		
	ID AND TOWNSEND	SALVOZA, M FRANCO G		
2 EMBARCADERO CENTER, 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1648	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,480	YOUNG, KAREN K.Y.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	M. Franco Salvoza	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	<u>:</u>					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se	entember 2005					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement					
,	ioodon roquiroment.					
Application Papers		:				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	•	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ed.				
	:					
	:					
Attachment(s)	,, -					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Linterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/815,480

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

After review of the previous Action, it was determined that upon further consideration that further restriction is appropriate for a thorough and complete examination. The Office regrets any inconvenience. The restriction set forth below replaces the previous one.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, 51, 54 drawn to an oligonucleotide and composition comprising SEQID NO: 8, classified in class 536, subclass 22.1.
- II. Claims 9-15, 34-39, 52, 55, drawn to an oligonucleotide, kit and composition comprising SEQ ID NO: 15 (a fragment of SEQ ID NO:9) and SEQ ID NO: 74 (identical to SEQ ID NO:9), classified in class 536, subclass 22.1.
- III. Claims 16-27, 53, 56 drawn to an oligonucleotide, kit and composition comprising SEQ ID NO: 28 (identical to SEQ ID NO:16), classified in class 536, subclass 22.1.
- IV. Claims 28-33, 40-49, drawn to a kit comprising SEQ ID NO: 8, SEQ ID NO: 9, and SEQ ID NO: 16, classified in class 536, subclass 22.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the instant case, nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins and kits comprising

Art Unit: 1648

different groups of nucleotide sequences are structurally distinct chemical compounds with separate utilities such as being used to probe or code for specific amino acid sequences. These sequences are thus deemed to constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq. (MPEP § 803.04).

Inventions I-III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Group IV does not rely on any one of the subcombinations for patentability, rather on the particular combination of elements. The subcombinations have separate utility such as for use in probes or to code for specific proteins.

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If applicant elects Invention III, applicant must further elect:

One fluorescent moiety for claims 18, 19, 20;

Application/Control Number: 10/815,480

Art Unit: 1648

One quencher moiety for claims 22, 24 or a non-fluorescent quencher moiety for claims 22, 23.

If applicant elects Invention IV, applicant must further elect:

One fluorescent moiety for claims 41, 43 and 44;

One quencher moiety for claims 45 and 47 or a non-fluorescent quencher moiety for claims 45 and 46;

One thermostable DNA polymerase for claims 48 and 49.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

Art Unit: 1648

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IV is not co-extensive with the searches for Groups I-III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Franco Salvoza whose telephone number is (571) 272-8410. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (571) 272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,480

Art Unit: 1648

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Franco Salvoza Patent Examiner

JAMES HOUSEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600